From: Staley, Brennon <Brennon.Staley@seattle.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 20, 2024 8:22 AM
To: PCD_OneSeattleCompPlan <OneSeattleCompPlan@seattle.gov>
Subject: FW: Comment on One Seattle Plan Comprehensive Plan

From: DVNPCoalition <dvnpcoalition@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 20, 2024 12:28 AM
To: Staley, Brennon <Brennon.Staley@seattle.gov>
Subject: Comment on One Seattle Plan Comprehensive Plan

CAUTION: External Email

Dear Brennon,
We thought you should know and want to know why proposed One Seattle Plan Comprehensive (draft) Plan doesn't coincide with the accepted planning for boundary communities sharing the South Park Neighborhood? Seattle's Urban Center and Urban Village Plans for South Park are not appropriate.
The South Park Neighborhood has two jurisdictions. Three, if you include Tukwila where half of the South Park Bridge serves South Park across the Duwamish River.

We are direct and to the point. We do not think Seattle's proposed plan for South Park is correct for South Park. The Urban Center status Seattle has carelessly assigned South Park makes it vulnerable to upzones that are dangerous for the population of residents but also day workers that work in South Park's neighborhood manufacturing/
industrial/marine businesses.
South Park's geology is within a large liquifaction zone, flood zone and nearly zero sea level throughout the area.
Why hasn't Seattle thought better of its idea to make South Park into something it won't be able to be?
Seattle's Urban Center idea for this neighborhood (that does not qualify for an urban village) is foolhearty and a MISTAKE. Seattle has already put hardship in South Park with the incompatible industrial zones around it.
Why make life harder for the people living in South Park with even worse land use possibilities such as what an Urban Center brings? Does Seattle enjoy torturing South Park?

Seattle did not realize what it has done over the decades to South Park!!!!!!!
Why? DID Seattle:
1. Make incompatible zoning with no buffer zones between residential and industrial? (1923 and onward...also not even mentioned in the One Seattle Plan)
2. Rezone SF5000 to RSL when South Park already had RSL 2500 historical plats throughout? (Seattle's Comp Plan 2035 missed this feature of South Park entirely)
3. Densify an area along a TOXIC SUPERFUND River which allows less safety and makes climate change worse for the residents and workers?(takes out trees and habitat which could mitigate these environmental problems) SOUTH PARK DOES HAVE 'LOVE' CANAL.
4. Allow super charged extra density in South Park that has ancient infrastructure AND WAS PLATTED IN 1890 with small streets, old pipes and lots of truck traffic?
5. Pay no attention to liquifaction dangers in South Park in the One Seattle Plan?
6. Not take into consideration other jurisdictions and their zoning plans for the same neighborhood?
7. Not realize the need for larger yards and less density in South Park as the neighborhood can't handle so much hardscape and impermeable surfaces?

8. Not take into consideration that making South Park an Urban Center would be incompatible with goals of other jurisdictions within the same Neighborhood? South Park is better served from other jurisdictions within it.
9. Not realized South Park is all in an environmentally sensitive critical area?
10. Not realized the historical/cultural significance of both Georgetown and South Park as they were earliest settled towns along the Duwamish River before boundaries were drawn and only land claims made?

Sincerely,
Penni Cocking,
Duwamish Valley Neighborhood Preservation Coalition
May 20, 2024
12:25 a.m..